

Fax: (785) 296-1168 oits.info@ks.gov

Phone: (785) 296-3463

Jeff Maxon, Interim Chief Information Technology Officer

Laura Kelly, Governor

May 30, 2023

Todd Herman, Director Procurement and Contracts

Dear Mr. Herman:

The high-level project plan for the Office of Information Technology Services GRC Implementation project is enclosed. Mark Abraham is the primary contact for the project and can be reached at (785) 296-7029. This letter constitutes approval of the project pursuant to K.S.A. 75-7209.

K.S.A. 75-7209 states all specifications for any competitive acquisition related to an approved information technology project shall be *reviewed* by the chief information technology officer for the branch of state government of which the agency or agencies are a part. The requirement that agencies obtain CITO approval of proposed IT projects has been adjusted to be in agreement with JCIT suggestions. As a result, all specifications for any competitive acquisition related to an approved IT project shall now be *approved* by the CITO before release.

If a variance of 10% or more in time or cost to the approved high-level project plan would occur with vendor selection, a revised high-level project plan must be submitted for CITO approval and the CITO's approval shall be received, *prior* to contract award. The CITO will notify JCIT of such events as per their request.

Once the final contracts are awarded, the high-level project plan will need to be updated with detailed information and receive final CITO approval. As required by statute and reinforced by the JCIT, the detailed project plan must receive CITO approval <u>prior</u> to project execution. This detailed project plan should include information found at the following link: <a href="https://ebit.ks.gov/kito/epmo/proposed-information-technology-project-plans">https://ebit.ks.gov/kito/epmo/proposed-information-technology-project-plans</a>.

As of July 1, 2013, new CITO-reportable projects are assessed a fee to support KITO operations. The fee will be assessed against the total project cost identified in the agency's detailed project plan. The fee will be billed quarterly until the project's Post Implementation Evaluation Report (PIER) is received. Fees will be based on the following rate structure:

- Projects valued between \$250,000 and \$10,000,000 .0035 of the Project cost
- Projects valued greater than \$10,000,001 .0005 of the Project cost
- Infrastructure projects .00035 of the Project cost

Todd Herman 5/30/2023 Page 2 of 2

If there is any further assistance I may provide, please contact me.

Respectfully,

DocuSigned by:

Jeff Maxon

Jeff Maxon, Interim CITO

**Executive Branch** 

cc: Kelly O'Brien, CITO, Judicial Branch

Alan Weis, CITO, Legislative Branch Adam Proffitt, Director of the Budget

James Fisher, KLRD

JCIT Membership

Kelly Johnson, OPC

Brian Reiter, OITS

Mark Abraham, OITS

Lee Adams, OITS

Megan Burton, KSHS

Cole Robison, OITS

Alex Wong, CITA

Sara Spinks, KITO



Phone: (785) 296-3463 Fax: (785) 296-1168 oits.info@ks.gov

Laura Kelly, Governor

Jeff Maxon, Interim Chief Information Technology Officer

April 12, 2023

Jeff Maxon, Interim Chief Information Technology Officer Executive Branch Information Technology Office of information Technology Services 2800 SW Topeka Blvd, Building 100 Topeka, KS 66611

Dear Mr. Maxon:

The Office of Information Technology Services is requesting approval of our high-level project plan for the GRC Implementation project. The goal of the GRC project is to leverage the State's ServiceNow platform to add a more intuitive, user friendly Integrated Risk Management (IRM) capability to their suite of readily available cybersecurity services within Service Now for State agencies, boards, and commissions. This project will be phase 1 of 2 phases and will be treated as separate projects. Phase 1 will be responsible for setting up and configuring the necessary entities in the State's Service Now to manage risks for this foundational effort.

The total project cost is estimated at \$278,501 [External Costs - \$278,501 Internal Costs - \$0.00].

The attached high-level documentation describes the work and costs to complete this project. Please review the submitted documentation and should you have any questions, please feel free to contact me at (785) 296-7029 or Mark.Abraham@ks.gov

Thank you in advance for your assistance.

Sincerely,

DocuSigned by:

Mark Abraham —02765C5534A0484...

Mark Abraham, Chief Information Security Officer

Office of Information Technology Services

State Entity: OITS	
Project Name: GRC Implementation.	
Greater than \$250,000/ less than \$1,000,000 (Y/N): Y	
Greater than \$1,000,000 (Y/N): N	
IT Project Plan Documents	Included (Y/N)
For forms and/or more detailed information on completion of plan: see https://ebit.ks.gov/kito/it-project-oversight/proposed-it-project-plans	
For ITEC Policy and/or more detailed information on approval of IT projects, see ITEC 2400 and 2400A https://ebit.ks.gov/itec/resources/policies	
Cover Letter Requesting Project Approval	Υ
IT Project Request ExplanationDA518	Υ
IT Cost Benefit StatementDA519	Y
Work Breakdown Structure	Y
Task Name (tasks should be descriptive)	Y
Start	Y
Finish	Y
Milestone	Y
Architectural Statement (ITEC Policy 4010 and 9500) https://ebit.ks.gov/itec/resources/policies	Ť
	V
Statement of products and standards compliance	Y
If different, attach CITA waiver	N/A
Ownership of Software Code and Related Intellectual Property (ITEC Policy 1500)	
Statement of compliance	N/A
If different, attach CITO waiver	N/A
Accessibility Statement (ITEC Policy 1210) https://ebit.ks.gov/itec/resources/policies/policy-1210	Υ
Statement indicating intent to use Voluntary Product Accessibility Template® (VPAT®) to assess compliance with ITEC 1210 as part of the procurement/development and testing process, or attach exception from State ADA Coordinator.	Y
For more information see: https://www.itic.org/policy/accessibility/vpat.	
Attach approval letter from State Director of IT Accessibility	Cole
Electronic Records Retention Statement (K.S.A. 45-403 and K.S.A. 45-213 through 45-223)	
For more information see https://www.kshs.org/p/records-management-and-the-law/11348	Υ
I. Identify replaced paper records	N/A
2. Identify new business functions	Υ
3. Reasons for business functions	Υ
4. Records requirements for business function	Υ
5. Documents in another system?	N/A
6. Public access requirements	N/A
7. Access control requirements	N/A
Identify all records with retention period of ten or more years	N/A
9. Estimate three year cost of addressing records identified in No. 8	N/A
Attach approval letter from State Archivist.	Y
Risk Identification Summary (Form ITEC PM02-11a)	Y
Risk Assessment Model (RAM) Summary - High Level Plans	Y
Fiscal Note, if appropriate	N/A
Electronic copy submitted four weeks prior to contract award and/or project execution	N/A

This checklist is for state entity use and the completed form should be submitted with the IT Project Plan

Rev. 09/20 (hyperlinks)

1. Project Title:	INI	FORMATION 1	TECHNOLOGY .	PROJECT REQU		TON DA 518 2. Project Priority	3. Estimat	ted Dates
GRC Implementation						2. Hoject i Horie,		
							Planning Start:	4/25/2023
Agency: OITS-KISO							Execution Start:	6/12/2023
							Close-Out End:	9/26/2023
4. Project Description a		: 1 1 0				Date Submitted:	4/25/2	
4. Project Description at The Kansas Information S available cybersecurity ser Cask is a ServiceNow prof Governance, Risk & Comp With this effort, the Cask S minimum viable product (model for OITS to leverage	ecurity Office (KISO) see vices for State agencies, the fessional services provide pliance (GRC) and Security Security & Risk practice at MVP) combined with a "	poards, and commiss or that has supported ty Operations modul and OITS seek to beg teach to fish" approa	sions. KISO requires the OITS with other Servicles. gin a two-phase prograch. Our scope will inc	nat their solution be sca ceNow initiatives. Cask am which will develop f lude one (1) departmen	uitive, user friendly Into lable as well as meet tl c has a Security & Risk Coundational IRM capa	egrated Risk Manager ne complexities of the Practice, which sole bilities on the Service	ment (IRM) capability to ir needs. ly focuses on ServiceNo Now platform. Phase 1	their suite of readily w's IRM (previously will develop a
Is this an Infrastructure Pr Will Business Process Mo Will national and/or indus	deling be completed during try data standards be used	!? (Y/N)		N)				N N Y
If yes, please specify.	Security standards will	follow NIST 800-53	3R5.					
List any collaboration that user input, and continued		nnning of the IT Proj	ect, and/or will take pl	lace during execution of	f the project. Include t	ools, methods, and bo	est practices used for pro	oviding collaboration,
OITS KISO will provide r		insuring to the state of the	OIS CHARGOT LINE 2.	The tenders F	offit tile tables 15 1	to complete and party	ott Osing int 11212	
Category			Cost		1	KITO Rate Structur	9	<b>Project Quarterly</b>
Internal Cost (Salaries) Contractual Services Commodities Capital Outlay  Total KITO Rate Fee	Sub-Total Project Cost  Total Project Costs	ts	\$0 \$277,530 \$0 \$0 \$277,530 \$971 \$278,501		Project Val \$250,000 \$10,000,001 Infrastructur	ue Range \$10,000,000 Greater	Quarterly Rate 0.00350 0.00050 0.00035	KITO Fee \$971
6. Project Subprojects (i	nclude <u>name, start</u> and <u>e</u>	end dates, and cost	of each Subproject):	Cr. 4 Data	F 1D-4-	T to all Cant	T / WI Cank	T (-1.04
Subproject Name Planning				Start Date 4/25/2023	<b>End Date</b> 8/4/2023	Internal Cost \$0	External Cost \$0	Total Cost \$0
Execution				(// 2/2 2 2 2			****	
Execution				6/12/2023	9/22/2023	\$0	\$277,530	\$277,530 \$0
								\$0 \$0
KITO Fee						\$0	\$971	\$971
Close-Out			Execution Sub-Total	6/12/2023	9/22/2023	\$0 \$0	\$278,501	\$278,501
Close-Out			Grand Internal, Exte	9/25/2023 ernal, and Total Costs	9/26/2023	\$0 \$0	\$0 \$278,501	\$0 <b>\$278,501</b>
7. Amount by Source of								
State Fiscal Years SFY 2023	1. SGF 2. \$278,501		3.	4. 5	5.	).	7.	<b>Total</b> \$278,501
SFY 2024								\$0
SFY 2025 SFY 2026								\$0 \$0
SFY 2027 SFY 2028								\$0 \$0
Total Project Costs	\$278,501	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	
Description of funds listed	above							
6110 Service Rate /								

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY P	PROJECT REQUEST EXPLANA	TION DA 5	19
1. Project Title	2. Estimate	ed Dates	Projected Months from
GRC Implementation	Planning Start:	4/25/2023	Execution to Close-Out
	<b>Execution Start:</b>	6/12/2023	1
	Close-Out End:	9/26/2023	7
3. Agency	4. Project Director/	Project Manage	r
OITS-KISO	Alex Wong/ Lee Ada	ms	

### 5. Qualitative and Quantitative Savings Explanation

The State of Kansas, Office of Information Technology Services (OITS) is the central IT services office for the State's executive branch agencies. OITS currently uses ServiceNow to provide IT services support for select agencies across the State. OITS seeks to expand its use of the ServiceNow platform to support the Kansas Information Security Office which provides information security oversight across the enterprise.

The Kansas Information Security Office (KISO) seeks to leverage the State's ServiceNow platform to add a more intuitive, user friendly Integrated Risk Management (IRM) capability to their suite of readily available cybersecurity services for State agencies, boards, and commissions. KISO requires that their solution be scalable as well as meet the complexities of their needs.

Cask is a ServiceNow professional services provider that has supported OITS with other ServiceNow initiatives. Cask has a Security & Risk Practice, which solely focuses on ServiceNow's IRM (previously Governance, Risk & Compliance (GRC) and Security Operations modules.

With this effort, the Cask Security & Risk practice and OITS seek todevelop foundational IRM capabilities on the ServiceNow platform. for OITS, which will then provide a repeatable model for OITS to leverage across other Departments.

6. Qualitative and Quantitative Savings Est	6. Qualitative and Quantitative Savings Estimate						
Description of Savings		SFY 2023	SFY 2024	SFY 2025	SFY 2026	SFY 2027	SFY 2028
Cost Avoidance (Soft Dollars)							
Discussions have taken place with OITS Netwo							
the vendor to determine the best practices and			\$929,520				
5% of state staff salary for 3 days (Estimated b	ased on the						
Govenor's 2019 Budget Report)				\$1,394,280			
5% of state staff salary for 4 days (Estimated b	ased on the						
Govenor's 2019 Budget Report)					\$1,859,039		
5% of state staff salary for 5 days (Estimated b	ased on the						
Govenor's 2019 Budget Report)						\$2,323,799	
Subtotal	\$6,506,638	\$0	\$929,520	\$1,394,280	\$1,859,039	\$2,323,799	\$0
Cash Savings (Hard Dollars)							
Subtotal	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Other (Include Intangible Benefits)							
Standardized managemnet for issue, remediati	on and Exception						
lifecycles		Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Automating the P&C process to Service Now,							
time from manual data input and eliminating sp	readsheets	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Reliable and consistent Vendor support							
		Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	Unlimited	
Subtotal	\$0						
Quantitative Savings	\$6,506,638		,				\$0
7. Summary*	<b>***</b>	SFY 2023	SFY 2024	SFY 2025	SFY 2026	SFY 2027	SFY 2028
Project Costs Total	\$278,501		\$0				\$0
Net Cost Benefit Total	\$6,228,137		\$929,520	\$1,394,280	\$1,859,039	\$2,323,799	\$0
Cost Benefit per Month Calendar Months to Break Even	\$1,626,660						
	0		SFY 2024	CEV 2025	SEV 2026	CEV 2027	CEV 2020
8. Ongoing Cost Operational Cost for three ensuing SEV		SFY 2023		SFY 2025	SFY 2026	SFY 2027	SFY 2028
Operational Cost for three ensuing SFYs		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0

Project Costs = Total Cost of Project over all Fiscal Years from all Funding Sources

Net Cost Benefit = Total Qualitative & Quantitative Savings minus Total Project Costs

Cost Benefit per Month = Total Qualitative & Quantitative Savings divided by Length of Project in months

Calendar Months to Break Even = Total Project Costs divided by Cost Benefit per Month

ID	Task Name	Duration	Work	Start	Finish	Predecessors	Resource Names	Milestone
1	GRC Implementation	108 days	0 hrs	Tue 4/25/23	Tue 9/26/23			No
2	Planning	72 days	0 hrs	Tue 4/25/23	Fri 8/4/23			No
3	Request CITO approval for HL	25 days	0 hrs	Tue 4/25/23	Mon 5/29/23			No
4	Engagement and Project	5 days	0 hrs	Mon 6/5/23	Fri 6/9/23			No
5	Project Setup and Launch	5 days	0 hrs	Mon 6/5/23	Fri 6/9/23			No
6	Discover	10 days	0 hrs	Mon 6/12/23	Fri 6/23/23	5		No
7	Kick off meeting	5 days	0 hrs	Mon 6/12/23	Fri 6/16/23			No
8	Current State assesment and Archetecture	10 days	0 hrs	Mon 6/12/23	Fri 6/23/23			No
9	Design and Create	39 days	0 hrs	Mon 6/12/23	Fri 8/4/23			No
10	Design:Policy and Compliance with advanced	20 days	0 hrs	Mon 6/19/23	Mon 7/17/23			No
11	Request CITO approval of detailed plan	20 days	0 hrs	Mon 6/12/23	Mon 7/10/23			No
12	Create: P&C	20 days	0 hrs	Mon 7/10/23	Fri 8/4/23			No
13	Execution	73 days	0 hrs	Mon 6/12/23	Fri 9/22/23			No
14	Evaluate	15 days	0 hrs	Mon 8/7/23	Fri 8/25/23			No
15	UAT Preperation and	15 days	0 hrs	Mon 8/7/23	Fri 8/25/23	12		No
16	Realize	19 days	0 hrs	Mon 8/28/23	Fri 9/22/23			No
17	Training	10 days	0 hrs	Mon 8/28/23	Mon 9/11/23	15		No
18	Go Live Preperat	4 days	0 hrs	Tue 9/5/23	Fri 9/8/23			No
19	Go live	5 days	0 hrs	Mon 9/11/23	Fri 9/15/23	18		No
20	Hypercare	10 days	0 hrs	Mon 9/11/23	Fri 9/22/23			No
21	Closing	2 days	0 hrs	Mon 9/25/23	Tue 9/26/23	20		No
22	Pier review	1 day	0 hrs	Mon 9/25/23	Mon 9/25/23	3		No
23	lessons learned	1 day	0 hrs	Tue 9/26/23	Tue 9/26/23	22		No

State Archivist State Archives Division 6425 SW 6th Avenue Topeka KS 66615-1099



785-272-8681, ext. 272 megan.burton@ks.gov kshs.org

Matthew Chappell, Acting Executive Director

Laura Kelly, Governor

April 24, 2023

Jeff Maxon, Chief Information Technology Officer-Executive Branch 2800 SW Topeka Blvd Building 100 Topeka, KS 66611

Dear Mr. Maxon,

As part of the approval process for information technology projects over \$250,000, the State Archivist is required to evaluate the impact of information technology projects on government records with long-term (10+ year) retention requirements. If the project impacts long-term records, the State Archivist must ensure that appropriate provisions have been made for these records in the high-level and detailed project plans, in the system design, and for their ingestion, if prudent and feasible, into the Kansas Enterprise Electronic Preservation (KEEP) system. An Electronic Records Retention Statement and approval letter from the State Archivist must accompany high level and detailed project plans submitted to you in your role as the Executive Branch Chief Information Technology Officer.

In compliance with this process, Lee Adams, Project Manager, recently sent to me for review an Electronic Records Retention Statement for the Kansas Office of Information Technology's Governance, Risk, and Compliance Implementation project high-level plan. From my review of the project plan materials, it appears that this project will not impact records with long-term retention.

The Electronic Records Retention Statement for the high-level project plan is approved. A copy of this approval letter should be included when submitting the project plan for approval.

Sincerely,

Megan Burton State Archivist

Cc: Cole Robison, Director of IT Accessibility, OITS

Lee Adams, Project Manager, OITS



Fax: (785) 296-1168 oits.info@ks.gov

Phone: (785) 296-3463

Jeff Maxon, Interim Chief Information Technology Officer

May 22, 2023

Jeff Maxon, Interim Chief Information Technology Officer Office of Information Technology Services 2800 SW Topeka Blvd., Building 100 Topeka, KS 66611

Dear Mr. Maxon:

As part of the approval process for information technology projects over \$250,000, a statement indicating compliance with State Information Technology Executive Council (ITEC) Policy 1210 *Information and Communication Technology Accessibility Standards* must be filed with the Branch Chief Information Technology Officer and approved by the Director of Information Technology (IT) Accessibility. I recently received from Lee Adams an Accessibility Statement for the GRC Implementation project for review in compliance with this process.

This statement affirms that the project will comply with the requirements of ITEC Policy 1210 and will require an Accessibility Conformance Report (ACR) to be provided.

The Accessibility Statement for the GRC Implementation high-level project plan is approved. A copy of this letter should be included with the submittal of the GRC Implementation high-level project plan for Branch CITO approval.

Sincerely,

B7372BF57AD54B7

Cole D. Robison

DocuSigned by:

Director of IT Accessibility

cc: Mark Abraham, Office of Information Technology Services Lee Adams, Office of Information Technology Services Anthony Fadale, State Americans with Disabilities Act Coordinator Sara Spinks, Director, Kansas Information Technology Office



Phone: (785) 296-3463 Fax: (785) 296-1168 oits.info@ks.gov

DeAngela Burns-Wallace, Chief Information Technology Officer

Laura Kelly, Governor

April 12, 2023

**RE:** GRC Implementation

The Kansas Information Security Office (KISO) seeks to leverage the State's ServiceNow platform to add a more intuitive, user friendly Integrated Risk Management (IRM) capability to their suite of readily available cybersecurity services for State agencies, boards, and commissions. KISO requires that their solution be scalable as well as meet the complexities of their needs.

#### **Architectural Statement**

The Kansas Information Security Office (KISO) complies with ITEC Policies 4010 and 9500 as found at <a href="https://ebit.ks.gov/itec/resources/policies/itec-policy-4010">https://ebit.ks.gov/itec/resources/policies/itec-policy-4010</a> and <a href="https://ebit.ks.gov/itec/resources/policies/itec-policy-policies/itec-policy-policies/itec-policy-policies/itec-policy-policies/itec-policy-policies/itec-policy-policies/itec-policy-policies/itec-policy-policies/itec-policy-policies/itec-policy-policies/ite

Current, planned, and future KISO projects are in compliance with the Kansas Information Technology Architecture version 12.0. In-house development and vendor supplied technologies will be implemented in accordance with State Architecture standards.

### Ownership of Software Code and Related Intellectual Property Statement

The Kansas Information Security Office (KISO) will comply with ITEC Policy 1500 as found at <a href="https://ebit.ks.gov/docs/default-source/itec/itec">https://ebit.ks.gov/docs/default-source/itec/itec</a> policy 1500.pdf.

### **Accessibility Statement**

The Office of Information Technology Services is in compliance with the Accessibility requirements (ITEC Policy 1210) as found at <a href="https://ebit.ks.gov/itec/resources/policies/policy-1210">https://ebit.ks.gov/itec/resources/policies/policy-1210</a>. The GRC implementation project will utilize software currently in use for the State of Kansas utilizing Service Now software which is also currently compliant to ITEC Policy. All vendors on this project will provide a compliance statement.

### **Electronic Record Retention Statement**

1. For each business function supported by the new system, what paper records are being replaced and which will continue to exist in both paper and electronic form?

There are no records to replace for this Project.

### 2. What new business functions will be implemented?

With this effort, the Cask Security & Risk practice and OITS seek to begin a two-phase program which will develop foundational IRM capabilities on the ServiceNow platform. Phase 1 will develop a minimum viable product (MVP) combined with a "teach to fish" approach. Our scope will include one (1) department, the Office of Information Technology Services, which will then provide a repeatable model for OITS to leverage across other agencies

### 3. What are the reasons for performing the business functions?

Issue, Remediation, and Exception lifecycles will be managed in a clear, standardized, systematic approach with consistent documentation of processes. Together, this transformation from manual to automated activities will allow the flow of data to be constant and with minimal human intervention, leaving less room for human error.

4. What legal, regulatory or operational requirements, including State Records Board approved retention schedules, exist for keeping records related to each business function?

N/A

5. Will any of the data necessary to document the business functions either be maintained in another system within the agency or in a system outside the agency? If so, please specify.

No

6. What are the legal, regulatory or operational requirements to providing public access to the records?

N/A

7. What are the legal, regulatory or operational requirements for controlling access to the records in order to ensure confidentially?

N/A.

8. Identify all records with retention periods of ten or more years that will be affected by the project or indicate that the project has no such records involved.

N/A

9. Estimate of the three-year total cost of addressing records identified in No. 8 above and included on the DA519, Item #8.

N/A

# Risk Identification Summary (Top Five Risks)

A description of project risks, the probability of the risk occurring, the impact of the risk on the project, and the suggested mitigation activities.

Last Risk Assessment Date:

Prepared by:

Category	Prob	Imp	Risk	Mitigation Approaches
Resource	Low	Med	Due to competing priorities, there is a potential for OITS resources to become unavailable during the project for meetings, trainings, migrations, etc.	Mitigate by eliciting management support for making OITS resources available when needed. May record meetings and vendor training sessions/demos for OITS resources may review and get up to date on the project tasks.
Project Management	Low	Med	Lack of or miscommunication between OITS Project management and Vendors Project Management may cause some confusion	OITS Management will keep a shareable issues log and defer to the Vendor Project Management where applicable
Financial	Low	Low	Availability of Funds	Use of financial models to understand the actual project costs and recovery period.
Technology	Low	Med	There is potential for lack of access to the vendor to the OITS system should a connectivity issue occur	Ensure Vendor has access to KS.gov where applicable

Legend

Prob = Probability of Occurrence

Imp = Impact

# RISK ASSESSMENT MODEL High Level Plan - Summary Report Ver. 1.0

<b>Agency Name:</b>	Office of Information Technoligy Services (OITS)	
---------------------	--	--

**Project Name:** GRC Implementation

### 1. Introduction

The Risk Assessment Model measures risk in distinct areas. Below are the average scores based on the results from the questionnaire. Each area indicates the measured risk on a scale from 1 to 9, with 9 being the highest risk. Scores lower than 2.0 are considered "Low Risk", scores higher than 2.0 are "Medium Risk" and scores higher than 3.0 are considered "High Risk".

### 2. Summary

Score	Risk Level	Risk Area
1.5	LOW	Strategic Risk
2.0	MEDIUM	Financial Risk
2.4	MEDIUM	Project Management Risk
1.0	LOW	Technology Risk
1.0	LOW	Change Management / Operational Risk

Note: If you get "#VALUE!" as a result in any of the "Score" or "Risk Level" fields, you have unanswered questions. Go back and check your answers.

### 3. Signature

I have reviewed the results of the Risk Assessment Model. The results are indicators only and do not represent all the risks of the project. ITEC will use the results as the basis of discussion, and will not rely solely on the output.

### Project Director

## **RISK ASSESSMENT - Summary Report**

### **High Level Plan - List of Comments**

(Expand Row Height to Show all Text)

12 Not Applicable