

Report Title: Project Details
Run Date and Time: 09/03/2025 01:50:11 PM Central Daylight Time
Run by: Cole Robison
Table name: pm_project

Project

Initiative Name:	Identity Access Management (IAM)	Number:	PRJ0022343
Initiative Acronym:	(IAM)	Percent complete:	0
Project manager:	Sterling McCullough	State:	Work in Progress
Status:	Green		
Most Recent Status Report:			

Description:

The State of Kansas would greatly benefit from a unified Identity and Access Management solution to support its employees. By deploying several of the key and critical capabilities such as Multi Factor Authentication (MFA) and Single Sign-On (SSO) several of the key benefits could be achieved. Most critically, would be an increased security posture by reducing the potential for account compromises.

Created by:

Sterling.McCullough@OITS.KS.GOV

Dates

Schedule:			
Project Management Schedule			
Approved project start date:	04/05/2024 08:00:00 AM	Approved project close-out date:	06/30/2028 05:00:00 PM
Project start date:	04/05/2024 08:00:00 AM	Project close-out date:	06/30/2028 05:00:00 PM
Actual start date:	08/28/2025 03:44:48 PM	Actual end date:	
Execution start date:	08/29/2025 09:00:36 AM	Execution end date:	04/03/2028 12:30:55 PM
Duration(duration):	1106 Days	Actual duration(work_duration):	
Planned effort:		Actual effort:	0 Seconds
Time constraint:	Start ASAP		

Details

Portfolio:		Priority:	4 - Low
Program:		Agency:	OITS
Investment Class:		Impacted Agencies:	
Investment Type:	Non-Infrastructure	Business Capabilities:	
Execution type:	Waterfall	Impacted Business Applications:	
Demand:			

Business Case

Business case:

Executive Summary:The State of Kansas would greatly benefit from a unified Identity and Access Management solution to support its employees. By deploying several of the key and critical capabilities such as Multi Factor Authentication (MFA) and Single Sign-On (SSO) several of the key benefits could be achieved. Most critically, would be an increased security posture by reducing the potential for account compromises. Employee experience and productivity would also be greatly improved by reducing the number of usernames and passwords employees would need. Finally, it would position the State to leverage architectures and concepts that align with Zero Trust principles and have a modern mechanism to identify and authenticate users for both new and existing applications.

Problem Statement:State of Kansas employees are challenged with maintaining multiple usernames and passwords to multiple systems. The requirement of employees needing multiple usernames and passwords for multiple systems presents both security risks as well as major inconveniences to employees and productivity.

Credentials are stored in different databases, different locations with different control and standards. There is no single source of truth for Identity Information or single system of record.

In order to simplify the challenges presented by needing multiple usernames and passwords, employees' resort to practices that present security concerns, such as using the same username and password for multiple systems, not creating complex passwords, saving usernames and passwords to spreadsheets on their systems, and writing them down. In addition, each time they forget their password to a system, they must reset the password taking away from their own productivity and potentially require additional resources, such as system administrator and help desk staff to reset the password.

Current State:Each agency maintains multiple user identification and authentication sources and solutions that are application dependent. These solutions range from Active Directory, application local database, TACACS/RADIUS and several others. There are currently several MFA solutions that exist in the agencies, but in most cases, they do not support many of the applications and are primarily used for remote access. Each agency performs their own administration and support of these solutions and none of the solutions tie into the larger enterprise solutions.

Explanation for additional Cost:

Because of modifications to the solutions architecture of 48 additional domains and 2 pairs of load balancers, the total costs and its percentages of where it was sourced increased. These costs are absolutely necessary to provide the level of service we are expecting.

In scope:

Integrate all executive branch employees, approximately 18,000 to 20,000 users, into a central user database: 1) integrate approximately 24 disparate Active Directory Forests that currently contain a majority of users; 2) Some users may not exist in active directory; 3) Users may be contractors providing services on behalf of the State of Kansas. Continue to update the central user database through automated process. Structure the environment to allow agencies delegated administration to their organization's users. Integrate with key State of Kansas Enterprise Services: 1) Office 365; 2) Sharp/SMART; 3) Talent and Learning Management System; 4) ServiceNow; 5) State Employee Health Benefits Portal (SEHP) - Vendor Managed; 5) KISO VPN Solution. Provide training to State of Kansas resources regarding the utilization and administration of the solution and their users. Develop an Operations Plan. Implementation of Executive Branch policy for access control & identification and authentication

Out of scope:

Integration of the broader agency application will be considered as Phase 2 effort and not within scope of this project. Implementation of Identity Governance, Identity automation, and federation efforts with 3rd party applications such as KPERS, KCJIS, and others. Efforts of the citizen IAM program (external user authentication)

Risk of performing:

Risk of not performing:

Enablers:

Barriers:

Assumptions:

MFA and Single Sign-on solution will continue to be the standard. It will reduce cost in other areas. It will increase employee productivity and efficiency. It will ease employee password / username burden. It is one of the greatest security improvements for the state. If an IAM solution is not pursued the state would be missing critical components of a zero-trust architecture which is becoming a widely adopted framework that may have federal compliance concerns in the future. It will help agencies comply with Federal requirements

Financials

Rate Model:

Total planned cost (minus Quarterly Oversight Fee):	\$5,923,587.24	Estimated annual ongoing costs of new system:	\$0.00
Total planned cost:	\$5,923,587.24	Planned benefit:	\$0.00

Planned capital:	\$0.00	Planned return:	(\$5,923,587.24)
Planned operating:	\$5,923,587.24	Planned ROI %:	-100
Budget cost:	\$0.00	Discount Rate %:	0
Actual cost:	\$0.00	Net present value:	(\$5,923,587.24)
Estimate at completion:	\$0.00	Internal rate of return %:	
		Estimate to completion:	\$0.00
		Estimated life/length of Commitment (in years):	5

Explanation of cost variance:

\$ Amount variance from demand:	\$0.00	% Cost variance from demand:
---------------------------------	--------	------------------------------

Assessment Data

KITO Reportable:	true	Overall Project Risk Score:	5.23
Strategic Risk:	4	Technology Risk:	4.7
Financial Risk:	1	Change Management / Operational Risk:	5.8
Project Management Risk:	6.1		
Demand Overall Business Risk Evaluation Score:		Demand Financial Risk Score:	
Demand Strategic Risk Score:		Demand Security & Compliance Risk Score:	
Demand Operational Risk Score:		Demand Reputational Risk Score:	

Notes

Watch list:	Work notes list:
Work notes:	

07/03/2025 10:52:07 AM - Cole Robison (Work notes)
@Sterling McCullough

I have added a cost plan for the quarterly oversight fee (necessary because this project was not originally entered as a demand, so the system could not produce this cost plan automatically). Please note the updated Total Planned Cost, and review the Funding Sources for Project Funding, and their % of Funding, making any necessary adjustments.

In cases where total project costs and/or the schedule vary by 10% or more from the approved demand estimates—(or in this case, the high-level project plan estimates, since that approval was provided under that legacy process before KARS was introduced)—these variances need to be noted and explained. For this initiative, the project indicates a total cost of \$5,923,587 (including the added quarterly oversight fee, see above), compared to \$3,042,000 approved for the high-level project plan, an increase of 95%. (Prior to my addition of the quarterly oversight fee, the project's total cost was \$5,844,684, 92% more than the approved high-level project plan cost.)

Because this variance exceeds the threshold, please add one or more lines to the bottom of the Business Case field (on the Business Case tab) that (1) note the variance in project cost (i.e., "This is a 95% increase over the original CITO approved cost estimate..."), and (2) address the reason(s) for the variance.

Of the 19 project tasks you've entered, only one of them is marked as a milestone. Identification of high priority tasks, checkpoints, and deliverables throughout execution is useful for tracking deliverable completion as a key metric of project progress. Please review and convert any such tasks to milestones, or add milestone tasks (such as at the completion of a standard task), as appropriate. (Bear in mind that milestone tasks have no duration, i.e., start date = end date. Converting any standard tasks to milestone tasks will affect their dates.)

(Please let me know when you have made these revisions, so I know when to review again. To do so, you can post a note here and "@mention" me, as I have done here to address this message to you.)

Cc: @Sara Spinks

Preferences			
Allow time card reporting on:	Project and project tasks	Derive assignee list from resource plan:	false
Update actual effort from time card:	Yes	Recalculate score on project change:	Yes
Calculation:	Automatic	Project schedule date format:	Date and Time
Show on Program Status Report:	true	Derive time component from planned dates:	false
Constraint date:	08/13/2024 08:00:00 AM		

Related List Title: Project Task List
Table name: pm_project_task
Query Condition: Parent = PRJ0022343
Sort Order: WBS Order in ascending order

28 Project Tasks

Number	WBS Order	Short description	Duration	Planned start date	Planned end date	Dependency	Assigned to	Milestone	State	Actual start date	Actual end date	Percent complete
PRJTASK 0061816	1	Project Start	325 Days	04/05/2024 08:00:00 AM	07/03/2025 05:00:00 PM			false	Work in Progress	04/05/2024 08:00:00 AM		0
PRJTASK 0061819	2	Execution Start	355 Days	06/23/2025 08:00:00 AM	10/30/2026 05:00:00 PM			false	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061821	3	Project Management	784 Days	06/30/2025 08:00:00 AM	06/29/2028 05:00:00 PM			false	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061823	4	Network/Firewall Setup	0 Seconds	07/10/2025 08:00:00 AM	07/10/2025 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061825	5	Virtual Server Provisioning Completed	0 Seconds	09/01/2025 08:00:00 AM	09/01/2025 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061829	6	Server Configuration Completed	0 Seconds	09/01/2025 08:00:00 AM	09/01/2025 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061838	7	Determine Migration Type per App	785 Days	06/30/2025 08:00:00 AM	06/30/2028 05:00:00 PM			false	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061845	8	ISVD Test Environment - Installed and Configured	0 Seconds	09/11/2025 08:00:00 AM	09/11/2025 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061850	9	ServiceNow Test Environment setup completed	0 Seconds	12/17/2027 08:00:00 AM	12/17/2027 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061853	10	O365 Onboard Completed	0 Seconds	11/05/2027 08:00:00 AM	11/05/2027 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0

Number	WBS Order	Short description	Duration	Planned start date	Planned end date	Dependency	Assigned to	Milestone	State	Actual start date	Actual end date	Percent complete
PRJTASK 0061856	11	Configured and installed Peoplesoft in Test Environment	0 Seconds	08/03/2026 08:00:00 AM	08/03/2026 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061864	12	Learnsoft Onboard Completed	0 Seconds	09/27/2027 08:00:00 AM	09/27/2027 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0063361	13	Onboard Global Protect Application - Completed	0 Seconds	01/16/2026 08:00:00 AM	01/16/2026 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061866	14	iTedium configured in Prod Environment	0 Seconds	09/01/2027 08:00:00 AM	09/01/2027 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061868	15	Security & Access Control	70 Days	08/04/2025 08:00:00 AM	11/07/2025 05:00:00 PM			false	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061870	16	Compliance & Hardening Requirements	15 Days	11/17/2025 08:00:00 AM	12/05/2025 05:00:00 PM			false	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061872	17	WAF/AFM Security Policies	5 Days	11/10/2025 08:00:00 AM	11/14/2025 05:00:00 PM			false	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0061879	18	Go - Live & Support	248 Days	11/18/2025 08:00:00 AM	10/29/2026 05:00:00 PM			false	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0064558	19	KS.Loc Domain Test Env. Configured	0 Seconds	11/07/2025 08:00:00 AM	11/07/2025 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0064560	20	Palo Alto Global Protect Test Env. configured	0 Seconds	12/12/2025 08:00:00 AM	12/12/2025 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0

Number	WBS Order	Short description	Duration	Planned start date	Planned end date	Dependency	Assigned to	Milestone	State	Actual start date	Actual end date	Percent complete
PRJTASK 0064562	21	KS.Loc Domain - Prod Environment installed and configured	0 Seconds	03/25/202 6 08:00:00 AM	03/25/202 6 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0064568	22	Palo Alto Global Protect - Prod Environment is installed and configured - Completed	0 Seconds	04/23/202 6 08:00:00 AM	04/23/202 6 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0064572	23	Completed testing of 4 domains with Peoplesoft	0 Seconds	06/23/202 6 08:00:00 AM	06/23/202 6 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0064574	24	Peoplesoft Test Environment Completed	0 Seconds	07/08/202 6 08:00:00 AM	07/08/202 6 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0064577	25	Installed and Configured the 24 Additional Domains in the Prod Environment	0 Seconds	07/01/202 7 08:00:00 AM	07/01/202 7 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK 0064584	26	Peoplesoft configured in Prod Environment Completed	0 Seconds	08/06/202 7 08:00:00 AM	08/06/202 7 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0

Number	▲ WBS Order	Short description	Duration	Planned start date	Planned end date	Dependency	Assigned to	Milestone	State	Actual start date	Actual end date	Percent complete
PRJTASK0064596	27	Service Now Prod Environment Setup Completed	0 Seconds	02/18/2028 08:00:00 AM	02/18/2028 08:00:00 AM			true	Pending			0
PRJTASK0064703	28	Documentation & Training	924 Days	08/13/2024 08:00:00 AM	02/25/2028 05:00:00 PM			false	Work in Progress	08/13/2024 08:00:00 AM		0

Related List Title: Project Task List
Table name: pm_project_task
Query Condition: Parent = PRJ0022343 AND Phase type = Agile
Sort Order: WBS Order in ascending order

None

Related List Title: Epic List
Table name: rm_epic
Query Condition: Sys ID in
Sort Order: Number in ascending order

None

Related List Title: Requirement List
Table name: dmn_requirement
Query Condition: Parent = PRJ0022343
Sort Order: Number in ascending order

8 Requirements

▲ Number	Short description	Type	State	Approval
DREQ0001462	Compliance: Accessibility	Accessibility	Accepted	Approved
DREQ0001463	Compliance: Architectural	Architectural	Accepted	Approved
DREQ0001464	Compliance: Data	Data Compliance	Accepted	Approved

▲ Number	Short description	Type	State	Approval
DREQ0001465	Compliance: Intellectual Property	Intellectual Property	Accepted	Approved
DREQ0001466	Compliance: Records Retention	Records Retention	Accepted	Approved
DREQ0001467	Compliance: Security	Security	Accepted	Approved
DREQ0001681	Agency Executive Authority Approval	Agency Executive Authority Approval	Approved	Approved
DREQ0001683	Project: KITO Review	KITO Review	Accepted	Not Yet Requested

Related List Title: Resource Plan List

Table name: resource_plan

Query Condition: Top task = PRJ0022343

Sort Order: Number in ascending order

None

Related List Title: Cost Plan List

Table name: cost_plan

Query Condition: Project/Demand in (PRJTASK0063361, PRJTASK0061868, PRJTASK0064568, DREQ0001681, DREQ0001467, PRJTASK0064562, PRJTASK0061821, PRJTASK0061856, PRJTASK0064703, PRJ0022343), [...]

Sort Order: Name in ascending order

8 Cost Plans

▲ Name	Cost type	Start fiscal period	End fiscal period	Quantity	Unit cost	Total planned cost	Total actual cost	Created by
Hardware Cost f5	Hardware Opex	FY25: M12 JUN	FY27: M12 JUN	1	\$462,770.00	462,770	0	Sterling.McCullough@OITS.KS.GOV
Hardware Cost VM	Hardware Opex	FY25: M12 JUN	FY27: M12 JUN	1	\$600,000.00	600,000	0	Sterling.McCullough@OITS.KS.GOV
ISV Services	External labor Opex	FY25: M12 JUN	FY27: M12 JUN	1	\$949,174.00	949,174	0	Sterling.McCullough@OITS.KS.GOV
ISVD Services	External labor Opex	FY25: M12 JUN	FY27: M12 JUN	1	\$868,340.00	868,340	0	Sterling.McCullough@OITS.KS.GOV
Managed Support Cost	External labor Opex	FY25: M12 JUN	FY27: M12 JUN	1	\$2,184,300.00	2,184,300	0	Sterling.McCullough@OITS.KS.GOV
Original Cost for AD	External labor Opex	FY25: M12 JUN	FY27: M10 APR	1	\$395,100.00	395,100	0	Sterling.McCullough@OITS.KS.GOV

▲ Name	Cost type	Start fiscal period	End fiscal period	Quantity	Unit cost	Total planned cost	Total actual cost	Created by
PCR Utilizing ISVD	External labor Opex	FY25: M12 JUN	FY27: M12 JUN	1	\$385,000.00	385,000	0	Sterling.McCullough@OITS.KS.GOV
Quarterly Oversight Fee	Other Opex	FY25: M12 JUN	FY26: M09 MAR	3	\$26,301.08	78,903.24	0	cole.robison@OITS.KS.GOV

Related List Title: Funding Source List
Table name: u_funding_source
Query Condition: Task = PRJ0022343
Sort Order: Funding Source in ascending order

3 Funding Sources

Number	Name of Fund	Type of Funding	▲ Funding Source	% of Funding	\$ Amount of Total Planned Cost	\$ Amount of Average Annual On-Going Cost of Ownership	Task
FUND0001736	SGF	State General Funding	On-Going Funding	100	\$0.00	\$0.00	PRJ0022343
FUND0001558	ARPA	Federal Funding	Project Funding	22	\$1,303,189.1928	\$0.00	PRJ0022343
FUND0001735	SGF	State General Funding	Project Funding	78	\$4,620,398.0472	\$0.00	PRJ0022343

Related List Title: Benefit Plan List
Table name: benefit_plan
Query Condition: Work in (PRJTASK0063361, PRJTASK0061868, PRJTASK0064568, DREQ0001681, DREQ0001467, PRJTASK0064562, PRJTASK0061821, PRJTASK0061856, PRJTASK0064703, PRJ0022343), [...] AND Benefit type = Monetary benefits
Sort Order: Name in ascending order

None

Related List Title: Benefit Plan List
Table name: benefit_plan
Query Condition: Work in (PRJTASK0063361, PRJTASK0061868, PRJTASK0064568, DREQ0001681, DREQ0001467, PRJTASK0064562, PRJTASK0061821, PRJTASK0061856, PRJTASK0064703, PRJ0022343), [...] AND Benefit type = Non-monetary benefits
Sort Order: Name in ascending order

14 Benefit Plans

▲ Name	Description	Category	Sub category	Start fiscal period	End fiscal period	Measure	Non-monetary planned benefit	Non-monetary actual benefit
Ability to automate user provisioning and de-provisioning	Reduced System Administrator time; Quicker account creation time	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
Allow us to federate with external systems if needed	Fewer external systems that we federate with Reduction of system password resets	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
Allows us to remove our NetScaler infrastructure	Reduction or removal of maintenance costs Reduction of hardware for NetScaler	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
Enable identity governance abilities through single source of truth	1 source for employee access Reduce time for adhering to compliance requirements	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
End user will need to remember only 1 User Name and Password to access all of their applications	Reduced Help Desk calls for password resets	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
End users will not need to change passwords	Reduced Help Desk calls for password resets	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
Increase account security	Reduction in compromised accounts	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
Increase organizational security	Enabling MFA on all accounts	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	

▲ Name	Description	Category	Sub category	Start fiscal period	End fiscal period	Measure	Non-monetary planned benefit	Non-monetary actual benefit
Reduction in Operating Costs:	Fewer Help Desk calls for password and access problems Fewer administrators required to setup and tear down user access Faster and cheaper security audits	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
Security and Compliance:	Policy enforcement: segregation of duties and role-based access Reliable and prompt comprehensive access termination Simplification of audit and cleanup of entitlements Consistently strong authentication	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
Self Serve password resets	Reduced Help Desk calls for password resets	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	

▲ Name	Description	Category	Sub category	Start fiscal period	End fiscal period	Measure	Non-monetary planned benefit	Non-monetary actual benefit
Simplify User Administration	Reduce time for adhering to compliance requirements	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
User Experience	Improve the user experience by reducing the number of user names and passwords.	Soft	Ease of use	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	
User Productivity & Service:	Fewer passwords to remember or forget Faster onboarding Faster and trackable approvals	Soft	Risk reduction	FY29: M01 JUL	FY33: M12 JUN	Count	0	

Related List Title: Baseline List

Table name: planned_task_baseline

Query Condition: Top task = PRJ0022343

Sort Order: Created in descending order

1 Baselines

Name	Description	▼ Created
2025-08-29	Project moved from Planning to Executing.	08/29/2025 09:00:36 AM

Related List Title: Risk List

Table name: risk

Query Condition: Task = PRJ0022343

Sort Order: Number in ascending order

14 Risks

Short description	Probability	Risk status	Assigned to	Mitigation plan
Major security gaps	High	Pending		Started socializing this project with agency leadership and stakeholders.
Setting up and maintaining integrations	High	Pending		Continuous engagement with the agencies. Providing resources as needed.
Funding source is undefined .	High	Pending		Researching funding source options such as ARPA, Cyber Security Grant, etc.
single point of entrance	High	Pending		Use a highly available cloud solution.
Internet Facing Access	High	Pending		Using an industry leading Identity Authentication platform while using additional security controls.
Impact all executive branch	High	Pending		Ensure that training is provided to end users.
Encrypted usernames and passwords	High	Pending		Accept
commitment and availability	High	Pending		Engage the vendor to provide day to day management of the solution until the state has staff in place and trained to take over that effort.
Service with licensing expenses.	High	Pending		It is the intent to include the initial licensing within the project cost giving sometime to work this expense into the billable services. It is also anticipated that other solutions may be reduced and/or eliminated helping to bridge the expense gap.
project is around 12 months	High	Pending		Establish clear communication with the agencies. Start communication early and provide clear requirements and expectations.
Compliance	High	Pending		Closely review the Statute, Regulations and Policies. Ensure that compliance requirements are covered within the proposed solution.
multiple portals and tokens defeating the concept of SSO	High	Pending		Management is regularly engaging with Agencies and Stakeholders

Short description	Probability	Risk status	Assigned to	Mitigation plan
Integration	High	Pending		Bring in a vendor that can specializes in building IAM integration platform. Or accept the fact that the native system cannot utilize the enterprise IAM.
Not having SNOW the Core App after Palo Alto	High	Pending		Try using O365 or Peoplesoft as the next core application to on board to the ISV after Global Protect.

Related List Title: Project Stakeholder List

Table name: pm_m2m_project_stakeholder

Query Condition: Project = PRJ0022343

Sort Order: None

7 Project Stakeholders

Number	User	Key Stakeholder Type	Function
STAK0001003	Brian Reiter	Finance Director/CFO	
STAK0001009	Jeff Maxon	Executive Authority	
STAK0002105	John Godfrey	Executive Authority	CISO
STAK0001028	Jeff Maxon	IT Director/CIO	
STAK0001010	Mark Abraham	Sponsor/Business Owner	
STAK0001004	Tanya Heffel	IT Director/CIO	
STAK0001005	Dana Morales	PMO Director	

Related List Title: Assessment Instance List

Table name: asmt_assessment_instance

Query Condition: Task = PRJ0022343

Sort Order: Number in ascending order

1 Assessment Instances

▲ Number	Metric type	Due date	State	Caller
AINST0457110	Project Risk Assessment	08/29/2025	Complete	Sterling McCullough

Related List Title: Approval List
Table name: sysapproval_approver
Query Condition: Approval for = PRJ0022343
Sort Order: Created in descending order

1 Approvals

State	Approver	Comments	▼ Created
Approved	Jeff Maxon	08/29/2025 09:00:34 AM - Jeff Maxon (Comments) reply from: jeff.maxon@ks.gov Ref:MSG11164950	08/29/2025 08:57:19 AM

Related List Title: Attachment List
Table name: sys_attachment
Query Condition: Table name = pm_project AND Table sys ID = 4a0651e897c4961441e8b72ef053af17 OR Table name = pm_project_task AND Table sys ID in 01202b48978f2a9041e8b72ef053af56, 1119b6481beaee90eac12f85624bcb7b, 1693b46a1b2be250eac12f85624bcb0b, 32a0702e1be7e250eac12f85624bcb0f, 46282e441be6ee90eac12f85624bcb5, 4645f60c1baaee90eac12f85624bcbcd, 47a3d7cb1befee50eac12f85624bcb71, 47be3e0c1b2eee90eac12f85624bcbea, 5034f6081baaee90eac12f85624bcb4b, 610da2481b2aee90eac12f85624bcb94, [...] OR Table name = dmn_requirement AND Table sys ID in 2aef142c1be666d0eac12f85624bcb1, 2cab50e1bd49290eac12f85624bcbca, 58abc50e1bd49290eac12f85624bcb80, 8d86f93c1b22a2543d7ea6c4604bcb3b, 94abc50e1bd49290eac12f85624bcb6f, a4abc50e1bd49290eac12f85624bcb8, a8abc50e1bd49290eac12f85624bcb1, e8abc50e1bd49290eac12f85624bcba2 OR Table name = risk AND Table sys ID in 50ab3e231b145ed0eac12f85624bcb26, 5b2e3e2f1b145ed0eac12f85624bcb46, 7052935b97436a948e6cb631f053af50, 7dccf6a71b145ed0eac12f85624bcb4f, 898af62f1bd05ed0eac12f85624bcbcb, 8f1ff2231b545ed0eac12f85624bcb7a, 92bdfeab1b145ed0eac12f85624bcb81, ad49baa71bd05ed0eac12f85624bcb5e, ca2a72eb1bd05ed0eac12f85624bcb5e, e12bb6ef1bd05ed0eac12f85624bcb48, [...] OR Table name = issue AND Table sys ID in OR Table name = dmn_decision AND Table sys ID in OR Table name = project_action AND Table sys ID in OR Table name = project_change_request AND Table sys ID in OR Table name = sysapproval_approver AND Table sys ID in baafc35b1ba72a903d7ea6c4604bcb2f
Sort Order: Created in descending order

4 Attachments

File name	Content type	Table name	▼ Created	Created by
KITO Quarterly Oversight Fee Worksheet.xlsx	application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.spreadsheetml.sheet	pm_project	07/03/2025 10:39:55 AM	cole.robison@OITS.KS.GOV
IAM RFP Specifications V.2.docx	application/vnd.openxmlformats-officedocument.wordprocessingml.document	pm_project	08/12/2024 03:23:30 PM	sara.spinks@OITS.KS.GOV

File name	Content type	Table name	▼ Created	Created by
OITS_HLP IAM Enterprise Solution.pdf	application/pdf	pm_project	08/12/2024 03:21:56 PM	sara.spinks@OITS.KS.GOV
OITS_IAM_PP.pdf	application/pdf	pm_project	08/12/2024 03:21:27 PM	sara.spinks@OITS.KS.GOV